



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/566,733

02/02/2006

Akira Maenishi

L7002.06101

9734

52989

7590

08/04/2009

Dickinson Wright PLLC

James E. Ledbetter, Esq.

International Square

1875 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 1200

Washington, DC 20006

EXAMINER

AKRAM, IMRAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1795

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/04/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                              |                                      |                                        |  |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/566,733 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>MAENISHI ET AL. |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b><br>IMRAN AKRAM       | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1795                |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 June 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-15 and 18-29 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 16 and 17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 February 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |                                                                                        |                                                                   |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/2/06</u> .                                                  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Election/Restrictions***

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species 1, Embodiment 1 in the reply filed on 6/9/08 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no burden of search and requiring the applicant to file multiple applications for the multiple species would be unduly onerous on the applicant and the public. This is not found persuasive because examining the multiple, distinct species claimed by applicant would require extensive additional search and filing multiple patent applications for the multiple species is not necessary. In a species restriction, were the generic claim (claim 1) found allowable, the claims would be subject to rejoinder. Examining only Species 1 is meant to expedite prosecution.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. In addition, Examiner disagrees with Applicant's assessment of which claims are included in Embodiment 1. Applicant believes Embodiment 1 to include claims 1-13, 16, and 22. However, claims 9-13 are only disclosed in the First Alternative of Embodiment 1 (Species 2); claim 22 is only disclosed in Embodiment 3 (Species 5); and claim 17 is, in fact, disclosed in Embodiment 1. Therefore, the elected Species 1 includes claims 1-8, 16, and 17.

3. Claims 9-15 and 18-29 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

### ***Specification***

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-8, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Komiya (US 2002/0042035 A1).

7. Regarding claim 1, Komiya discloses a reformer **2** that has a cylindrical or tubular shape (paragraph 11) with a first wall element **62** and a second wall element **61** disposed coaxially with the first wall element (see figure 1); a tubular space **51** exists between the two wall elements and is provided with a evaporator portion **51a** and a reforming catalyst body **8** in axial relation with one another (see figure 1); a water inlet

Art Unit: 1795

**20**; and a feed gas inlet **26**. The reformer generates hydrogen with steam and feed gas (paragraph 4). The reformer causes the feed gas and steam to flow from the water evaporator to the reforming catalyst (paragraph 12). While the evaporator portion **51a** is not called an evaporator but a pre-heat layer instead, water is transmitted to the pre-heat layer **51a** via the heating channel **48** and is converted to steam in the process (paragraph 88). Where and when evaporation of the water occurs is process condition-dependent.

8. Regarding claim 2, Komiya discloses that the reformed gas is caused to flow from an axial end of said reforming catalyst body (paragraph 70).

9. Regarding claim 3, Komiya discloses that said water evaporator is disposed under said reforming catalyst body (see figure 1) as this is simply a matter of orientation. The reforming would be fully capable of operating upside-down from that depicted in figure 1 and the apparatus components and positioning would be the same.

10. Regarding claim 4, Komiya discloses that said first and second tubular wall elements are each constructed of a cylindrical seamless pipe (see figure 1).

11. Regarding claim 5, Komiya discloses a burner **18** configured to combust a combustible gas to generate a combustion gas (paragraph 61); and a third tubular wall element **14** disposed inward of said first tubular wall element and coaxially with said first tubular wall element (see figure 1), wherein the combustion gas is caused to flow in a tubular space which is a combustion gas passage **80** formed between said first and third tubular wall elements (see figure 1 and paragraph 61).

Art Unit: 1795

12. Regarding claim 6, Komiya discloses that said burner is oriented to cause a flame to be emitted upward from said burner (see figure 1). Again, this is a matter of orientation, and the apparatus can be turned around.

13. Regarding claim 7, Komiya discloses that said burner is disposed in an internal space of said third tubular wall element (see figure 1), said hydrogen generator further comprising: a first lid element **71** disposed with a gap between said first lid element and an upper end of said third tubular wall element **14** so as to close an upper end of said first tubular wall element **62**, wherein the combustion gas generated in said burner is caused to flow from an interior of said third tubular wall element into the combustion gas passage **80** through the gap (see figure 1).

14. Regarding claim 8, Komiya discloses that the combustion gas flows along the first wall element via passage **80** on its way to a break formed in the first wall element to combustion outlet **24**. Whether this direction is considered “downwards” is, too, a matter of orientation.

15. Regarding claim 16, Komiya discloses a tubular cover **63** that is configured to cover said second tubular wall element **62** and forms a double-walled pipe along with said second tubular wall element (see figure 2), wherein the reformed gas flowing out from said reforming catalyst body (paragraph 70) is caused to flow a tubular space **50** between said second tubular wall element and said tubular cover (paragraph 72).

16. Regarding claim 17, Komiya discloses a rod element **81** disposed at a position of the reformed gas passage to extend in a circumferential direction of said second tubular wall element (paragraph 72), and the rod element is sandwiched between said second

Art Unit: 1795

tubular wall element **62** and said tubular cover **63** (see figure 1). The rod is considered flexible as it is wound around the tubular element.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to IMRAN AKRAM whose telephone number is (571)270-3241. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-7 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on 571-272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

IA

/Jennifer K. Michener/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795